## Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation

## **Environmental Assessment**

Operator: Continental Resources, Inc. Well Name/Number: Helen 3-19H

Location: SE SW Section 19 T25N R55E

County: Richland , MT; Field (or Wildcat) W/C (Bakken Horizontal)

# **Air Quality**

(possible concerns)

Long drilling time: No, 30 to 40 days drilling time.

Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): No, triple derrick drilling rig to drill to

19,275'MD/9,834'TVD, single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation well.

Possible H2S gas production: Slight chance H2S gas production(Mississippian

Formations).

In/near Class I air quality area: No Class I air quality in the area of review.

Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-211.

Mitigation:

X Air quality permit (AQB review)

X Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas

Special equipment/procedures requirements

Other:

Comments: No special concerns – using triple rig to drill to 19,275'MD/9,834'TVD, single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation well. If there are existing pipeline for gas in the area then gas must be tied into system or if no gathering system nearby gas can be flared under Board Rule 36.22.1220.

# **Water Quality**

(possible concerns)

Salt/oil based mud: Yes, oil based invert drilling fluids for intermediate casing hole.

Brine water will be used to drill the horizontal lateral. Freshwater and freshwater drilling mud system will be used on the surface hole.

High water table: No high water table anticipated in the area of review.

Surface drainage leads to live water: No, closest drainages are an unnamed ephemeral tributary drainage to East Charlie Creek, about ¼ of a mile to the southeast

and about 3/8 of a mile to the northwest from this drilling location.

Water well contamination: No, closest water wells are about 5/8 of a mile to the northwest, about 5/8 of a mile to the southeast and all other wells are 1 mile and further away from this location. Depth of these water wells range from 6' to 140'. Surface hole will be drilled with freshwater and freshwater drilling fluids. Based upon Base of Fox Hills map surface setting depth of 1201', appears to be short. Recommend that surface casing be set to 1392' and steel surface casing set from and cemented from a depth of 1392'.\_\_

Porous/permeable soils: No, sandy clay soils.

Class I stream drainage: No Class I stream drainages in the area of review.

Mitigation:  X Lined reserve pit  Adequate surface casing Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage  Closed mud system Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility) Other: Comments: 1392' of steel surface casing should be set and cemented to surface
to protect freshwater zones and cover the Fox Hills aquifer.
Soils/Vegetation/Land Use
(possible concerns) Steam crossings: No, stream crossings, crossing only ephemeral drainages. High erosion potential: Yes, moderate cut, up to 15.4' and moderate fill, up to 15.8', required.
Loss of soil productivity: No, location will be restored after drilling, if nonproductive. If productive unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed.
Unusually large wellsite: No, large 500'X270' location size required.
Damage to improvements: No, slight.  Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight, surface use is a cultivated field and
grassland.
Mitigation  Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)  Exception location requested  _X Stockpile topsoil
Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)
_X_Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive
Special construction methods to enhance reclamation Other
Comments: Access will use existing county road, #326. About 2495' of new road
will be built into location off the existing county road. Operator will utilize a closed loop mud system with a lined cuttings disposal pit. Drill cuttings will be disposed of in the lined cuttings pit. Oil based invert drilling fluids will be recycled. Completion fluids will
be trucked to a commercial Class II disposal. The lined cuttings pit will be backfilled
when dry. No special concerns.
Health Hazards/Noise
(possible concerns) Proximity to public facilities/residences: No residences within 1mile and further from this well location.
Possibility of H2S: Slight chance of H2S from Mississippian Formations.  Size of rig/length of drilling time: Triple drilling rig/short 30 to 40 days drilling time.
Mitigation:
_X_Proper BOP equipment Topographic sound barriers
Topographic sound barriers H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan
Special equipment/procedures requirements

Proximity to known sites:None identified
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: _On private surface lands. There may be possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.  Social/Economic  (possible concerns) Substantial effect on tax base Create demand for new governmental services
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: _On private surface lands. There may be possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.  Social/Economic  (possible concerns) Substantial effect on tax base
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: On private surface lands. There may be possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.  Social/Economic
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: On private surface lands. There may be possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: On private surface lands. There may be possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite. The Board of Oil & Gas has no
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: On private surface lands. There may be possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: On private surface lands. There may be possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: On private surface lands. There may be possible
Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other:
Mitigation  avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)  other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)
Mitigation
Drovimity to known citac: None identified
(possible concerns)
Historical/Cultural/Paleontological
Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.
as to what he would like done, if a species of concern is discovered at this location. The
maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner
Comments: _ Private surface lands. There may be species of concern that
Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite Other:
Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)
Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
Mitigation:
tracker website for this Township and Range lists only zero (0) species of concern.
are Pallid Sturgeon, Interior Lease Tern, Piping Plover and Whooping Crane. Species listed as candidate species are the Greater Sage Grouse and Sprague's Pipit. NH
Threatened or endangered Species: Species identified as threatened by the USFWS
Conflict with game range/refuge management: None in the area.
Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No
Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.
Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.
Wildlife/recreation (possible concerns)
AND THE A
mitigate any issues.

Well is a 19,275'MD/9,834'TVD, single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation well.\_\_\_\_

# **Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects**

No long term impacts expected. Some short term surface impacts will occur.
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u> ) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u> ) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.
Prepared by (BOGC):_/s/Steven Sasaki(title:) Chief Field Inspector
Other Persons Contacted:
Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website
(Name and Agency) Richland County water wells
(subject discussed) April 3, 2012 (date)
US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website (Name and Agency) ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA COUNTIES, Richland County (subject discussed)
<u>April 3, 2012</u> (date)
Montana Natural Heritage Program Website (FWP) (Name and Agency) Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3, T25N R55E (subject discussed)
April 3, 2012(date)
If location was inspected before permit approval: Inspection date: Inspector: Others present during inspection: